

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,964	07/31/2001	Morihiko Minowa	FUJO 18.889	2573
26304	7590 06/02/2006		EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP			FILE, ERIN M	
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	₩-
	09/918,964	MINOWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erin M. File	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ 3)□ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exart 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority document of:     2. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies.	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
attachment(s) ) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/8/2006</u>.</li> </ul>	) Paper No(	s)/Mail Date  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2611

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "each bit of" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2611

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being by Arslan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,775,340).

Claims 1, 11, Arslan discloses channel estimation by using the pilot signal from which a predefined part of the pilot signal is removed (fig. 3, 24, col. 3, lines 43-47), a synchronization signal demodulating each bit of the synchronization signal by using a result of the channel estimation (fig. 3, 26), where the predefined part is defined for each target bit of the synchronization signal to be demodulated is defined so as to include the target bit and synchronization detection is made using the demodulated synchronization signal (fig. 5, fig. 8C, col. 3, lines 47-57).

Claim 2, Arslan further discloses the predefined part is a slot including the target bit of the synchronization signal (fig. 5, col. 3, lines 54-59).

Claim 3, Arslan further discloses channel estimating unit divides signal bits used for estimation into groups where the predefined part is group including the target bit of the synchronization signal (col. 3, lines 53-54).

Claim 4, Arslan further discloses the predefined part is the target bit (col. 3, lines 43-59).

Claim 5, Arslan further discloses said channel estimating unit also serves as a channel estimating unit for demodulating data (fig. 3, 24, 26).

Claim 7, Arslan further discloses weight coefficients, which are applied to each slot at the time of channel estimation, are varied according to reception quality information obtained from a reception quality estimating circuit (col. 6, lines 17-18).

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 8, Arslan further discloses weight coefficients, which are applied to each slot at the time of channel estimation, are varied according to a fading speed obtained from a fading frequency estimating circuit (col. 6, lines 17-18).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arslan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,775,340) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Basso et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,345,078).

Claim 10, Although Arslan fails to discloses synchronization detection made by using an output of a path having a largest correlation value among outputs of a RAKE receiver of a code division multiple access receiving device, Basso discloses a finger tracking loop (fig. 1, 20a-d) for each demodulator (fig. 1, 12a-d) of a RAKE receiver (fig. 1, 10) designed to synchronize with the delay or offset yielding the strongest finger energy for the multipath component being tracked (col. 2, lines 47-52). Because Basso discloses this synchronization method lead to more effective use of the receiver fingers according to the principles of the present invention tends to increase the multipath diversity of the receiver and to improve the average SNR of the receiver (col. 4, lines 33-36), it would

Art Unit: 2611

have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teachings of Basso into the invention of Arslan.

## Claim Objections

8. Claim 11 objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1 the examiner suggests changing "making synchronization" to "for synchronization" and in line 5 "making channel estimation" should be changed to "performing channel estimation". Appropriate correction is required.

# Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 6, 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2611

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2611

Erin M. File

15/14

5/28/2006

JEANB. CORRIELUS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
5.30-06

Page 7